

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

Atty

Wade, David D. (of Roseville, CA, for Executor Kenneth Meme)
Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Inventory and Appraisal

| DOD: 8-11-13 | KENNETH MEME was appointed as | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Executor with Full IAEA without bond and Letters issued on 3-25-14. | Minute Order 9 29 14: Mr. Wade |
| | and Leners issued on 3-23-14. | Minute Order 8-29-14: Mr. Wade informs the Court he will be filing the |
| | At the hearing on 3-25-14, the Court set | Inventory and Appraisal soon. The |
| Cont from 082914 | this status hearing for the filing of the | Court informs him to also file a status |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | Inventory and Appraisal pursuant to | report. |
| Verified | Probate Code §8800. | |
| Inventory | | As of 9-12-14, nothing further has been filed. |
| PTC | | <u>been liled.</u> |
| Not.Cred. | | Need Inventory and Appraisal or |
| Notice of |] | verified written status report |
| Hrg | | pursuant to local rule. |
| Aff.Mail | | |
| Aff.Pub. | | |
| Sp.Ntc. | | |
| Pers.Serv. | | |
| Conf. | | |
| Screen | | |
| Letters | | |
| Duties/Supp | | |
| Objections | | |
| Video | | |
| Receipt | | |
| CI Report | | |
| 9202 | | |
| Order | | |
| Aff. Posting | | Reviewed by: skc |
| Status Rpt | - | Reviewed on: 9-12-14 |
| UCCJEA | - | Updates: |
| Citation | - | Recommendation: |
| FTB Notice | | File 6 - Meme |

7 Henry and Margaret Boyajian (Trust)

Case No. 14CEPR00145

- Atty Pruett, Barry W. (of Grass Valley, for Phyllis Branche Petitioner)
- Atty Camenson, David M. (for Margaret Courtis Objector)
- Atty Burnside, Leigh W (for Jeffrey L. Boyajian Trustee)

Petition to Appoint Successor Trustee of Bypass Trust and Grandchildren's Trust and for Instructions, Probate Status Hearing Re: New Petition

| | nry Boyajian D: 10-18-01 | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|---|
| | | |
| | rgaret Boyajiaı D: 10-29-13 | n |
| 00 | D: 10-29-13 | |
| Co | nt: 041014, | |
| | 614, 081314 | |
| | | |
| | Aff.Sub.Wit. | |
| > | Verified | |
| | Inventory | |
| | PTC | |
| | Not.Cred. | |
| ~ | Notice of | |
| | Hrg | |
| ~ | Aff.Mail | W |
| | Aff.Pub. | |
| | Sp.Ntc. | |
| > | Pers.Serv. | W |
| | Conf. | |
| | Screen | |
| | Letters | |
| | Duties/Supp | |
| _ | Objections | |
| | Video | |
| | Receipt | |
| | CI Report | |
| | 9202 | |
| | Order | Χ |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Aff. Posting | |
| | Status Rpt | |
| \vdash | UCCJEA | |
| | Citation | |
| | FTB Notice | |
| | יוטווטפו עוון ו | |

PHYLLIS BRANCHE, daughter of Henry and Margaret Boyajian (trustors) and beneficiary, is Petitioner.

Petitioner states Henry and Margaret Boyaiian established the trust on 4-9-97 and amended and restated the trust on 9-23-99. After Henry's death on 10-18-01, Margaret became the sole trustee and pursuant to the trust created and funded the Survivor's Trust with the surviving trustor's share of the community property and a portion of the deceased trustor's share equal to the minimum necessary to eliminate estate taxes (the marital deduction amount) and the Bypass Trust with the remaining trust property. The Survivor's Trust was then amendable: however. the Bypass trust was irrevocable. After the death of the surviving trustor. the assets of the Survivor's Trust were to be added to the Bypass Trust and distributed as follows:

- 1) Real property on Nebraska Avenue in Selma to Jeffrey Boyajian;
- 2) \$400,000 in securities or cash to Petitioner in trust for each of the three grandchildren, Andrew Boyajian Branch, Cody Branche Boyajian, and Alan Boyajian Branche, pursuant to a specified formula; and
- 3) The remainder to Petitioner and Margaret Courtis in equal shares.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Minute Order 7-16-14: Counsel reports that the matter was settled last night at mediation. Matter continued to 8-13-14 as a placeholder only.

Status Report filed 8-7-14 by Attorney
Burnside states the draft petition for
settlement, appointment of a successor
trustee, and modification of the trusts is
expected to be filed by the end of August.

Minute Order 8-13-14: Attorney Burnside reports that a new petition will be filed.

As of 9-12-14, nothing further has been filed.

- Petitioner states the principal place of administration is Fresno County; however, the Successor Trustee, Jeffrey Boyajian, appears to reside in San Leandro, CA, which is Alameda County. Therefore, need clarification re Fresno as proper venue with reference to Probate Code §17005.
- Petitioner states the names and addresses of the beneficiaries or trustees; however, Petitioner does not state that these are all of the persons entitled to notice pursuant to Probate Code §§ 17201, 17203, 851. The Court may require a verified declaration that this list contains all of the persons entitled to notice.
- Need copies of trust and amendments. Petitioner states copies of the relevant documents are attached; however, there is nothing attached to the petition.

<u>Note</u>: Respondent Jeffrey Boyajian provided a copy of the Third Amendment only.

 Petitioner requests appointment of herself and Margaret Courtis as cosuccessor trustees of the Bypass Trust. Need consent of Margaret Courtis.

| more comosm or mangaror comor | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Reviewed by: skc | |
| Reviewed on: 9-12-14 | |
| Updates: | |
| Recommendation: | |
| File 7 – Boyajian | |

7 Henry and Margaret Boyajian (Trust)

Case No. 14CEPR00145

Page 2

Petitioner states on 12-21-07, the Surviving Trustor amended the Restatement as to the Survivor's Trust (the First Amendment). On 8-18-07, the Surviving Trustor again amended the Survivor's Trust (the Second Amendment), which Second Amendment revoked the First Amendment, and also:

- Confirmed the specific bequest of real property to Jeffrey Boyajian;
- Concedes that the \$400,000 specific bequest by the Trustors jointly to the grandchildren is irrevocable; and
- Contrary to the dictates of the trust regarding final distribution and regarding the trustee, and despite conceding the irrevocability of the specific bequests to the grandchildren, Surviving Trustor purports to modfy the specific bequests by
 1) replacing Petitioner as trustee for the grandchildren with a committee comprised of Petitioner, Margaret Courtis, and Jeffrey Boyajian, and
 2) modifying the specified formula for distributions;
- Contrary to the dictates of the trust and despite conceding the irrevocability of the provisions of the restatement, Surviving Trustor purports to revoke the distribution of the remainder of the trust to Petitioner and Margaret Courtis by instead giving them a specific bequest of \$1 million each, with the remainder to Jeffrey Boyajian;
- Surviving Trustor purports to state that the provisions of the Second Amendment control over any conflicts between the language of the Restatement and the Second Amendment.

Petitioner states on 6-25-10, and contrary to the dictates of the trust regarding successor trustees of the Bypass Trust, Surviving Trustor executed a Third Amendment that purports to revoke the nomination of Petitioner and Margaret Courtis as successor co-trustees of the Bypass Trust and replace them with Jeffrey Boyajian.

The Surviving Trustor passed away on 10-29-13 and since her death, Jeffrey Boyajian has been acting as the successor trustee of the Survivor's Trust and the Bypass Trust.

Based on the many inconsistencies among the language of the Restatement and the Second and Third Amendments, Petitioner requests instructions from this Court as follows:

Petitioner states the Surviving Trustor clearly had no authority to modify the provisions of the Restatement as to the successor trustee of the Bypass Trust. As such, Petitioner requests that Jeffrey Boyajian be removed as successor trustee and that Petitioner and Margaret Courtis be appointed as successor co-trustees of the Bypass Trust.

There exists a conflict between the Restatement and the Second Amendment as to the final disposition of the trust corpus. Petitioner states the Deceased Trustor's intent was clear that Jeffrey Boyajian receive the property, the grandchildren receive \$400,000 each, and Petitioner and Margaret Courtis share the remainder. It is Petitioner's position that while the Surviving Trustor had the authority to amend the Survivor's trust, she breached the Restatement and did not have the power to modify the dispositive provisions as to the Deceased Trustor's share of the community property, which became his separate property pursuant to Probate Code §100 by reason of his death. Petitioner states that because the Surviving Trustor concedes that the \$400,000 specific bequest is irrevocable, such irrevocability must also apply to the dispositive provision of such specific bequests.

As such, Petitioner requests that this Court order that Jeffrey Boyajian, as successor trustee of the Survivor's Trust, to return to the Bypass Trust an amount equal to the Deceased Trustor's share of the community property as of his date of death to be distributed pursuant to the Bypass Trust.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Page 3

Because the \$400,000 for each of the grandchildren is to be held in trust, the Second Amendment is contrary to the Restatement in wrongfully modifying the trustee of the grandchildren's trusts. While the Surviving Trustor had the ability to modify the Survivor's Trust, she did not have the power or right to modify the dispositive provisions of the Deceased Trustor's share of the community property, including naming the trustee of the grandchildren's trusts. Petitioner again points to the concession that the \$400,000 bequests are irrevocable, and as such, the irrevocability must apply to the appointment of the trustee. Therefore, Petitioner requests that she be appointed as trustee of the grandchildren's trust and to distribute pursuant to the Restatement.

Petitioner prays for an order as follows:

- 1. Finding that all facts stated in the petition are true and all notices required by law have been duly given;
- 2. Removing Jeffrey L. Boyajian as successor trustee of the Bypass Trust and appointing Petitioner and Margaret Courtis as successor trustees of the Bypass Trust;
- 3. That Jeffrey L. Boyajian as successor trustee of the Survivor's Trust return to the Bypass Trust an amount equal to the Deceased Trustor's share of the community property as of his date of death to be distributed pursuant to the dictates of the Bypass Trust;
- 4. That Petitioner be appointed as trustee of the Grandchildren's trust; and
- 5. For such other orders as the Court considers proper.

Maggie Courtis' Objection states the amendments are valid and Jeffrey Boyajian is the proper successor trustee of the Byapss Trust and the grandchildren's trusts. The amendments were made with the assistance of legal counsel (Attorney Jeff Wall). The purpose of the amendment was to create a "zero tolerance" threshold for recipients of the grandchildren's gifts to ensure that the recipients have not engaged in substance abuse for at least three years. The Third Amendment appointing Jeffrey Boyajian as successor trustee of both trusts was also made with the assistance of Jeff Wall as counsel, and Jeffrey Boyajian has been serving as such since 10-29-13.

Objector states the Bypass Trust was funded with the Selma Property and about \$656,000 of securities. The specific gift of the property to Jeffrey Boyajian is not at issue. Therefore, the assets of the Bypass Trust are insufficient to gift \$400,000 to each of the three other grandchildren. Plain and simple, Petitioner is attempting to obtain more money than the amendments provide. The money would come from the Survivor's Trust, which is agreed to be amendable/revocable. Margaret Boyajian only amended the Survivor's Trust. Her intent is clear and should not be frustrated. Applying Petitioner's reasoning to the interpretation of the amendments would completely dismiss Margaret Boyajian's intent with respect to the distribution, which is that the balance of the \$400,000 each is subject to the condition of being drug-free, something that Petitioner (their mother) does not deem an appropriate restriction.

No-contest clause: Objector states that if a beneficiary under the Restated Trust shall contest in court the validity or seek adjudication that the Restated Trust or any of its provisions is void or set aside any provisions, then the right of that person shall be determined as if predeceased without leaving issue. Petitioner is seeking to void or set aside the provisions of the Restated Trust as set forth in its amendments; therefore, her right is to be eliminated.

Objector prays for an order that:

- 1. The Restated Trust amendments are valid with respect to Trust A (Survivor's Trust) assets;
- 2. Only Trust B (Bypass Trust) assets are subject to the irrevocability language of the Restated Trust;
- 3. Trust B assets consisted only of the Selma Property and 94,406 shares of the Franklin Fund Securities at the death of Margaret Boyajian;
- 4. Jefffrey Boyajian is the proper successor trustee of all trusts created under the Restated Trust;
- 5. Petitioner has invoked the "No Contest" provisions of the Restated Trust with the filing of this petition and there is no longer a proper beneficiary of the trusts established pursuant to the Restated Trust.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

Page 4

Jeffrey Boyajian's Response states Petitioner is seeking instructions regarding who is the proper trustee of the trust shares to be established for her three adult sons. Respondent understood that he had been appointed to serve with Margaret Boyajian as co-trustee and as sole successor trustee pursuant to the Third Amendment (attached). Respondent is uncertain whether the First and Second Amendments validly nominated him as successor trustee of the Bypass Trust; however, is informed and believes that the Bypass Trust was not subject to amendment. As noted; however, pursuant to the Third Amendment, he was nominated and served with Margaret Boyajian as co-trustee.

Respondent states that in the Second Amendment, Margaret Boyajian stated her understanding of the irrevocability of the Bypass Trust, but further stated her intent to modify the dispositive provisions of the Survivor's Trust as to her grandchildren Andrew, Cody, and Alan. It is unclear whether the \$400,000 gift to each of them applied only in the event of the combination of the Survivor's Trust with the Bypass Trust, or if the trusts were not combined, to what extent, if any, would that affect the amount of the bequests/distributions to be made to them.

Mrs. Boyajian was concerned about her grandchildren's ability to responsibly manage their inheritance and instructed her attorney to prepare amendment directing a committee to consider distributions. In doing so, she attempted to modify the formula, which changes pertain to the Survivor's Trust. It is unclear if the \$400,000 gift to each of the three grandchildren applied only in the event assets were combined, etc.

Mrs. Boyajian had the authority to amend the Survivor's Trust such that both Petitioner and Margaret Courtis could potentially receive no assets from the Survivor's Trust if they received from other sources, including, but not limited to the Bypass Trust, life insurance proceeds, or other assets) the sum of \$500,000 each.

Mrs. Boyajian had the authority to amend the Survivor's Trust to name Respondent as beneficiary of said sub-trust.

Mrs. Boyajian intended the provisions of the Second Amendment to apply to the Survivor's Trust and desired to appoint Respondent with her as co-trustee, as she was in need of assistance at that time. Respondent has been administering the assets of the trust as he understood it was his responsibility to marshal and administer the assets for all beneficiaries.

Respondent states instructions would be appropriate as to the administration and disposition of the trust. Petitioner and Margaret Courtis are nominated as successor co-trustees; however, instructions are needed as to whether Mrs. Boyajian had authority to change the nomination with the Amendments.

Respondent states he does not know whether he is required under the Second Amendment to combine the assets of the Survivor's Trust with those of the Bypass Trust prior to final distribution, particularly if the funding of the Survivor's Trust was conducted in accordance with the terms of the Restated Trust and with regard to the amendments. If not combined, to what extend is the amount of the bequests to the grandchildren \$400,000 each) affected?

Respondent agrees that instructions are needed regarding the application of the Second and Third amendments and their scope and effect on beneficiaries.

Respondent therefore requests that this matter be set for evidentiary hearing to consider all evidence and make any and all further orders the Court may deem just and proper.

Petitioner filed a Response to Ms. Courtis' Objection of on 4-10-14 and requests that the petition be approved as prayed. See Response for details.

8A Atty

Case No. 14CEPR00402

Attý

The Murray 1996 Rev. Trust Agreement dated

Rube, Melvin K. (for Successor Trustee Robyn Esraelian)

Horton, Lisa (for Objector Daniel Murray)

Petition to Determine the Validity of the Eighth Amended and Complete
Restatement of the Murray 1996 Revocable Trust Agreement

| Stanley Murray | ROBYN ESRAELIAN, Successor Trustee, is | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| DOD: 3-6-09 Margaret Murray | Petitioner. | Continued from 6-18-14, |
| DOD: 2-7-14 | Petitioner states Stanley and Margaret Murray, | 8-13-14 |
| | husband and wife as Trustors, established the | Also set for status hearing. |
| | Murray 1996 Revocable Trust Agreement on 7-30-96 (the Trust). Stanley and Margaret | See Page B. |
| Cont. from 061814, | amended and restated the terms of the trust on | |
| 081314 | four occasions prior to 12-3-98. | |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | On 12.3.08 Stanloy and Margarot again | |
| ✓ Verified | On 12-3-98, Stanley and Margaret again amended and restated the Trust in its entirety | |
| Inventory | with their execution of a document entitled Fifth | |
| PTC | Amended and Complete Restatement of the | |
| Not.Cred. | Murray 1996 Revocable Trust Agreement | |
| ✓ Notice of | (5 th Amended Trust). | |
| Hrg | Stanley died on 3-6-09 and Margaret executed | |
| ✓ Aff.Mail W | an Affidavit – Death of Trustee on | |
| Aff.Pub. | 3-29-09, recorded on 4-6-09. As a result of Stanley's death, Margaret became the sole | |
| Sp.Ntc. | acting Trustee of the Trust. | |
| Pers.Serv. | deling hosted of the host. | |
| Conf. Screen | On 9-16-11, Margaret, as sole surviving Trustor, | |
| Letters | amended the trust in its entirety and restated the Trust with her execution of a document | |
| Duties/Supp | entitled Sixth Amended and Complete | |
| ✓ Objections | Restatement of the Murray 1996 Revocable Trust | |
| Video | Agreement (6 th Amended Trust). | |
| Receipt | On 10 10 12 Margaret as sale surviving Truster | |
| CI Report | On 10-19-12, Margaret, as sole surviving Trustor, again amended the trust in its entirety and | |
| 9202 | restated the Trust with her execution of a | |
| Order X | document entitled Seventh Amended and | |
| Aff. Posting | Complete Restatement of the Murray 1996 Revocable Trust Agreement (7 th Amended | Reviewed by: skc |
| Status Rpt | Trust). | Reviewed on: 9-12-14 |
| UCCJEA | · | Updates: |
| Citation | And on 9-19-13, Margaret, as sole surviving | Recommendation: |
| FTB Notice | Trustor, again amended the trust in its entirety and restated the Trust with her execution of a document entitled Eighth Amended and Complete Restatement of the Murray 1996 Revocable Trust Agreement (8th Amended Trust). | File 8A - Murray |
| | Margaret died on 2-7-14, and Petitioner, as Successor Trustee, sent notification pursuant to §16061.7 and a copy of the 8 th Amended Trust to all beneficiaries. The Trust is now irrevocable. | |
| | SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES | |

8A The Murray 1996 Rev. Trust Agreement dated Case No. 14CEPR00402

Page 2

Petitioner states DANIEL ANDREW MURRAY, a child of Stanley, was a remainder beneficiary of the Trust under the 5th Amended Trust; however, under the 6th, 7th, and 8th Amended Trusts, he is essentially disinherited. In a letter dated 3-20-14, counsel for Daniel contends that the trust can only be amended by written agreement signed by both trustors, and that since the 8th amendment was not signed by Margaret only, after Stanley's death, it is invalid.

Daniel contends that the 5th Amended Trust, as the last instrument signed by both Stanley and Margaret, is valid and that he is entitled to a distribution pursuant to the 5th Amended Trust.

Petitioner contends that the 8th Amended Trust is valid and that upon termination, the net distributable residuary estate should be distributed pursuant to the 8th Amended Trust.

Petitioner prays for an order declaring that the 8th Amended Trust is valid and directing her, as Successor Trustee of The Murray 1996 Revocable Trust to distribute the residuary trust estate pursuant to Article Five, Paragraph B3 of the 8th Amended Trust.

Daniel Murray filed an Objection on 6-12-14. Objector states:

Stanley had three (3) children before his marriage to Margaret: Daniel Andrew Murray (Objector), Morgan Steven Murray, and Phillip Stanley Murray. Margaret had two children before her marriage to Stanley: Eugenia Kay Stott, and Wayne Stott (predeceased, no issue).

At the time Stanley and Margaret married, Stanley had a large real property ranch that was his separate property. That ranch was sold prior to his death, and made up the majority of trust assets.

Objector states that he, his two siblings, and Margaret's daughter were always the equal beneficiaries of the Trust while Stanley was alive. After Stanley's death, for no reason known or disclosed to Objector, Margaret by herself and against Stanely's written wishes decided to remove Objector as a beneficiary and augment her own daughter's share through subsequent amended trusts.

Objector contends that not only are the subsequent amended trusts signed after Stanley's death invalid per the terms of the 5th Amended Trust, but also that Stanley would never have agreed to the subsequent amended trusts signed by Margaret after his death.

Pursuant to the language in Article Seven of the 5th Amended Trust: the "Trustors may at any time **during their lifetime** amend any terms of this trust by written instrument **signed by the Trustors** and delivered to the Trustee." The

Trust could only be amended during both Stanley and Margaret's lifetime with a written instrument signed by both of them. The language absolutely does not allow one Trustor to amend the Trust after the death of the other.

If the Trustors wanted to allow the surviving Trustor to amend the 5th Amended Trust, then Article Seven would have said something to the effect of "during the lifetime of either Trustor" (See *In Re Estate of Powell* (2000) 83 CA4th 1434).

Since the 6th Amended Trust is only signed by Margaret, it is invalid.

SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES

8A The Murray 1996 Rev. Trust Agreement dated Case No. 14CEPR00402

Page 3

Objector states if the 8th Amended Trust is found valid it only changes the distributive provisions for Margaret's portion of the trust estate contributed by her, and pursuant to Probate Code §15401(b)(1) and *In Re Estate of Powell* (2000) 83 CA4th 1434, Stanley's trust estate contribution should be distributed pursuant to the 5th Amended Trust.

Objector prays for an order as follows:

- 1) Denying the Petition to Determine Validity of the 8th Amended Trust in its entirety;
- 2) Declaring that the 5th Amended Trust is valid;
- 3) Directing Petitioner as Successor Trustee of the Murray 1996 Revocable Trust to distribute the trust estate pursuant to Article Six of the Fifth Amended Trust; and
- 4) For such other orders as the Court may deem proper.

8B The Murray 1996 Rev. Trust Agreement dated 7/30/96Case No. 14CEPR00402

Atty Rube, Melvin K. (for Successor Trustee Robyn Esraelian)

Atty Horton, Lisa (for Objector Daniel Murray)
Status Hearing

| | Status Hearing | |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | ROBYN ESRAELIAN, Successor Trustee, filed a petition on 5-2-14 to determine the validity of the 8 th Amended and Complete Restatement of the Murray | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
| Cont from 081314 | 1996 Revocable Trust Agreement. | |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | DANIEL MURRAY filed an Objection on | |
| Verified | 6-12-14. | |
| Inventory | See Page A for details. | |
| PTC | | |
| Not.Cred. | On 6-18-14, the Court continued the | |
| Notice of | matter to 8-13-14 and also set this | |
| Hrg Aff.Mail | separate status hearing. | |
| Aff.Pub. | | |
| Sp.Ntc. | | |
| Pers.Serv. | | |
| Conf. | | |
| Screen | | |
| Letters | | |
| Duties/Supp | | |
| Objections | | |
| Video | | |
| Receipt | | |
| CI Report | | |
| 9202 | | |
| Order | <u> </u> | |
| Aff. Posting | | Reviewed by: skc |
| Status Rpt | _ | Reviewed on: 9-12-14 |
| UCCJEA Citation | | Updates: Recommendation: |
| FTB Notice | | File 6B - Murray |
| FIB NOTICE | | rile ob – Muliuy |

Atty Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Administrator)

Petition for Letters of Administration; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

| DO | D: 7-28-14 | PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR is Petitioner and | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
|-------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | requests appointment as Administrator | |
| | | with Full IAEA without bond. | Note: Petitioner states there are no |
| | | 1 | known relatives to give notice to. |
| | | Full IAEA – ok | Note: If the political is granted status |
| | Aff.Sub.Wit. | Decedent died intestate | Note: If the petition is granted status hearings will be set as follows: |
| > | Verified | 1 | •Wednesday, 01/07/2015 at |
| | Inventory | Residence: Clovis | 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing |
| | PTC | Publication: Fresno Business Journal | of the inventory and appraisal |
| | Not.Cred. | Estimated value of estate: | <u>and</u> |
| | Notice of | Real property: \$175,000.00 | • Wednesday, 09/09/2015 at |
| | Hrg | 7. 1 | 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303 for the filing |
| | Aff.Mail | Probate Referee: Rick Smith | of the first account and final |
| ~ | Aff.Pub. | | distribution. |
| | Sp.Ntc. | = | Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required |
| | Pers.Serv. | | documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status |
| | Conf. | | hearings on the matter the status hearing will come off calendar and no |
| | Screen | <u> </u> | appearance will be required. |
| ~ | Letters | | |
| | Duties/Supp | | |
| | Objections | | |
| | Video | 1 | |
| | Receipt | | |
| | CI Report | | |
| | 9202 |] | |
| > | Order | | |
| | Aff. Posting | | Reviewed by: skc |
| | Status Rpt | | Reviewed on: 9-12-14 |
| | UCCJEA | | Updates: |
| | Citation | | Recommendation: SUBMITTED |
| | FTB Notice | | File 11 - Urabe |
| | | | 11 |

11

14

Atty Kruthers, Heather H., of County Counsel's Office (for Public Administrator, Successor Trustee)

Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Final Account by the Successor Trustee

| DOD: 3/12/2010 | PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR was court-appointed | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| DOD: 0/12/2010 | Successor Trustee of the JOHN R. PANZAK | NEEDS/TROBLEMS/COMMENTS. |
| | LIVING TRUST by Minute Order dated | 1. Need final account or |
| | 4/29/2013. | verified status report. |
| | _ | · |
| Cont. from | | Notes Re Related Estate of |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | Minute Order dated 7/9/2014 from the last | John R. Panzak, Sr., Case |
| Verified | status hearing set this Status Hearing on | #10CEPR00505: |
| Inventory | 9/17/2014 for the filing of the final account by | The Panzak, Sr. Estate is a |
| PTC | the successor trustee. | related matter in which the |
| Not.Cred. | | PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR |
| Notice of | 7 | was appointed |
| Hrg | | Administrator of the Estate |
| Aff.Mail | | by Minute Order dated 4/29/2013. |
| Aff.Pub. | | Order Settling First and |
| Sp.Ntc. | | Final Account and Report |
| Pers.Serv. | | of Deceased Personal |
| Conf. | | Representative was filed |
| Screen | | on 3/13/2014 in the |
| Letters | | Panzak, Sr. Estate, |
| Duties/Supp | | representing the final account of the deceased |
| Objections | | personal representative, |
| Video | | JOHN R. PANZAK, JR. (DOD |
| Receipt | | 2/15/2013.) |
| CI Report | | Panzak, Sr. Estate is |
| 9202 | 7 | currently pending closure |
| Order | | by the PUBLIC |
| | | ADMINISTRATOR. |
| | | |
| Aff. Posting | | Reviewed by: LEG |
| Status Rpt | | Reviewed on: 9/11/14 |
| UCCJEA | | Updates: |
| Citation | | Recommendation: |
| FTB Notice | | File 14 – Panzak Living Trust |
| | | · |

Mayo, Sonya J. (Executor)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Failure to File a First Account or Petition for Final Distribution

| Probate Status Hearing Re: Failure to File a First Account or Petition for Final Distribution | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| DOD: 1-20-06 | SONYA J. MAYO, Daughter, was | NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: |
| | appointed as Executor with Full IAEA | |
| | without bond and Letters issued on | Minute Order 3-14-14: Examiner Notes |
| | 6-21-06. | are provided to Ms. Mayo. Continued to 7-25-14. |
| Cont. from 031414, | <u> </u> | 7-25-14. |
| 072514 | Final Inventory and Appraisal filed | Minute Order 7-25-14: No appearances. |
| Aff.Sub.Wit. | 11-15-06 indicates a total estate value of \$510,700.00 consisting of real | Matter continued to 9-17-14. Sonya |
| | property (two homes) and personal | Mayo is ordered to be personally present |
| Verified | property items. | on 9-17-14 if the first account or petition for final distribution has not been filed. A |
| Inventory | | copy of the Minute Order was mailed to |
| PTC | On 12-20-13, the Court set this status | Ms. Mayo. |
| Not.Cred. | hearing for failure to file a petition for | |
| Notice of | final distribution and sent notice to Ms. | As of 9-12-14, nothing further has been |
| Hrg | Mayo. | filed. |
| Aff.Mail | | Note: The Executor was previously |
| Aff.Pub. | Minute order dated 3/14/14 indicates | represented by attorney Peter C. Meux, |
| Sp.Ntc. | the examiner notes were provided to Ms. Mayo. | now deceased. |
| Pers.Serv. | Mis. Mayo. | Need first account or petition for final |
| Conf. | | distribution or current written status |
| Screen | | report pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 |
| Letters | | which states in all matters set for |
| Duties/Supp | | status hearing verified status reports must be filed no later than 10 days |
| Objections | | before the hearing. Status Reports |
| Video | | must comply with the applicable |
| Receipt | | code requirements. Notice of the |
| CI Report | | status hearing, together with a copy of the Status Report shall be served |
| 9202 | | on all necessary parties. |
| Order | 1 | |
| | | Note: On 11-4-08, a Creditor's Claim and |
| | | Request for Special Notice was filed by the State of California Franchise Tax |
| | | Board in the amount of \$1,785.22. |
| | | |
| | | Note: On 5-2-07, Waivers of Accounting |
| | | from all of the heirs were filed; however, |
| | | a petition for final distribution on waiver of accounting was never filed. |
| Aff. Posting | | Reviewed by: skc |
| Status Rpt | 1 | Reviewed on: 9-12-14 |
| UCCJEA | | Updates: |
| Citation | | Recommendation: |
| FTB Notice | | File 15 – Mayo |
| | | 1.5 |